

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There are two types of promotions—one is regional and the other is statewise. The Hon'ble Member is confusing between the two.

Primary Health Unit to Kotagudda in Pavagada taluk

***Q.—111. Sri T. TAREGOWDA (Sira).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they propose to sanction a Primary Health Unit to Kotagudda in Pavagada taluk during 1960-61 as the villagers have credited their cash contribution and the B.D.C. of Pavagada taluk also has recommended the case?

A.—Sri K. K. HEGDE (Minister for Health).—

The proposal is under examination.

Sri T. TAREGOWDA.—What is the amount of villagers' contribution credited?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—Rs. 20,000.

Sri T. TAREGOWDA.—When will the Government be pleased to take a decision in the matter?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—In 1960-61.

House Building Societies in the State.

***Q.—132. Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY (Chicknayakanahalli).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of House Building Societies in the State and the Central Institution to which they are attached;

(b) the number of applications received and entertained in the years 1957-58, 1958-59, and 1959-60 up to December, and the amount claimed and sanctioned;

(c) the amount allotted by the State Government to the House Building Corporation in the years 1957-58, 1958-59 and 1959-60?

A.—Sri MALI MARIYAPPA (Minister for Co-operation).—

(a) 295. Out of these, only 161 societies have been affiliated to the Mysore State Co-operative House Building Corporation Ltd., Bangalore, which is the mother institution.

(b) *Vide* statement given below.

(e) Year	Allotment
	Rs.
1957-58	3,00,000
1958-59	7,50,000
1959-60	Not yet allotted.

THE MYSORE STATE CO-OPERATIVE HOUSING CORPORATION LTD., BANGALORE-4

Statement of loan applications received and sanctioned.

Year	No. of loan applications received and amount		Loan applications sanctioned under				Total loan applications sanctioned	
	Number	Amount	Mysore Government Funds		Central Government Funds L.I.G.H.S.		Number	Amount
			No.	Amount	No.	Amount		
1957—58	914	Rs. 53,60,353	172	Rs. 5,14,530	449	31,13,270	621	Rs. 36,27,800
1958—59	469	27,87,871	161	6,41,327	337	23,56,566	498	29,97,893
1959—60	674	40,93,107	61	3,07,070	61	3,07,070

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—It is already the year end and so at this rate when do you think of allotting and how much do you propose to allot?

Sri MALI MARIYAPPA.—The Housing Corporation allots in the usual course. Your question is: whether the State has directly allotted to the primary societies and to that the answer is 'not yet'.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—You say in your answer that it is "not yet allotted". Why is it that it is not yet allotted?

Sri MALI MARIYAPPA.—The Housing Corporation allots to primary societies, but your question is whether the State has allotted directly to the primary societies and for that the answer is 'no'.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—The question is what is the amount allotted by the State Government to the House Building Corporation and not to the Primary Societies.

Sri MALI MARIYAPPA.—If the Hon'ble Member is referring to (c), the answers is that the House Building Corporation and the other Primary Societies are carrying on some correspondence. It seems some bye-laws had to be amended. Those bye-laws, it seems, have been recently amended.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know why no allocation was made to the House Building Corporation in the last year's budget?

†Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I can answer that question. We do not directly allot to the House Building Corporation. We process the amount through the Housing Board and, therefore, direct allotment to the House Building Corporation does not arise.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Has it not come to the notice of Government that the Chairman of the House Building Corporation approached you saying that the amount allotted to them through the Housing Board was not yet adjusted?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—He has made that representation and we have issued orders that the amount allotted to them must be given to them immediately, but there was some difficulty.

The Accountant General wanted a bond from the Housing Board and the bond has been executed and the money will be paid to them immediately.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—When did they approach you? When did you take a decision and when is this money going to be paid to them?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Will the Hon'ble Member take the trouble of putting another question so that I can get all the information?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—It is disgraceful that at the tail end of the financial year he has taken a decision and he is giving the money and asking the Chairman of the House Building Corporation to spend that money.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I take strong objection to the word 'disgraceful'. He has no business to use that word. Will the Chair kindly order him to withdraw that word? If that is not possible we will see what we will have to do.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is 'disgraceful' unparliamentary? It is not an unparliamentary word.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—My friend Sri Narasimhan will realise that when I am on my legs he should not talk. It is not disgraceful; we have been taking steps from the earliest moment. As soon as the amounts were allotted to the Housing Board, we have given instructions to them to make the allotments immediately, but there was some difference in calculation of interest between the Housing Board and the Corporation; they had to settle the matter among themselves. The Corporation has to give an interest of $\frac{1}{2}\%$ extra or so I am not very definite about it and also the scrutinising fee. The Housing Board charges a certain percentage for scrutiny, but the House Building Corporation was not prepared to pay that scrutiny fee because the Corporation said that they had their own retired Chief Engineer and that their plans need not be scrutinised once again by the Housing Board Engineers. For that position the Housing Board was not agreeable and therefore they had to settle the matter among themselves and that took a long time. I have issued orders

and I have been issuing orders for months about this matter. Probably, the Hon'ble Member does not know this. It is not enough if the Hon'ble Member gets up and puts a question like this without knowing the facts. He must take steps to know the correct position before he makes any assertion or any allegation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In the first place, I do not find the word 'disgraceful' as unparliamentary, but I do see that it is a strong word and members should be careful in using such strong words. Unless they are sure about the grounds they should not use such strong words. The Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa instead of putting the question was arguing a case. It is quite objectionable to argue a case when one is required to put a question.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Because of this delay all the societies in the State are in a defunct stage now.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—No, I do not think so. We are always giving them sufficient moneys. It is not necessary that we should give them the moneys in the month of April or May alone. It is also the duty of the societies to work with their own funds and they do not work with our funds only.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—But you sanction the various schemes.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We do not sanction the various schemes. If you know how the Corporation works and what is the relationship between the Housing Board and the Corporation, you would not have put this question. I would request my friends to study the matter well and then put questions.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—I have definitely stated that it is the step-motherly treatment given by the Housing Board to the House Building Corporation that is responsible for this state of affairs.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The Chairman of the Corporation is on the directorate of the Housing Board and therefore there can never be any step-motherly treatment of the House Building Corporation.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—From the figures given in the Appendices under the heads "Loan applications

sanctioned under Government Funds" and "Total loan applications sanctioned" for the years 1957-58, 1958-59 and 1959-60 there is a declining trend. Can you give the reason for this?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I do not know; I have not seen.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Sir, many a times he says he does not know the facts and still he replies, but when an opposition member gets up and puts a question, he says that members are putting questions without studying the facts. Is it not necessary that he should also study the facts and not answer questions without studying facts?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—When he does not know a particular thing, how does he dare to reply?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am glad my young friends are teaching me how to read and write. The amount of allotment depends upon the subsidy we get from the Centre and the amount that we are able to provide in our budget. In some years they give more money and therefore the allotment is more, but sometimes they give less and the allotment is also less. It will go on declining or increasing depending upon the amount that we get from the Centre.

Age limit for Retirement of Government Servants.

*Q.—147. **Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the upper age limit fixed for Government servants for retirement;

(b) what are the considerations the Government is going to take to fix the age limit of a Government servant, in the absence of a horoscope;

(c) what are the considerations that the Government is going to take regarding the age question to selection posts, like P.S.C. Members, High Court Judges, etc.;

(d) the action they propose to take in the case of people over-aged, in selection posts?